UNDER PUBLIC REVIEW SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

BACT Dete			s per	Concrete Batch Plar
	ermination Numb	er: 193	BACT Determination Date:	7/11/2018
		Equipme	nt Information	
Permit Nu	mber: N/A 0	Generic BACT Determin	ation	
Equipmen	t Description:	Concrete Batch Plan	t	
Unit Size/F	Rating/Capacity:	Greater than or equa	Il to 5 cubic yards per batch	
Equipmen	t Location:			
		RACT Determin	nation Information	
	1		nation Information	
ROCs	Standard:	No Stadard		
	Technology Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
NOx	Standard:	No Standard		
	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
SOx	Standard:	No Standard		
	Technology			
	Description:	Achieved in Practice		
	Basis: Standard:	No Standard		
PM10	Technology	See page 8 of 8 of BACT D	etermination 193	
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM2.5	Standard:	Equivalent to PM10 controls		
1 10210	Technology	See page 8 of 8 of Bact De	termination 193	
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
CO	Standard:	No Standard		
	Technology			
	Description: Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
	Standard:	No Standard		
LEAD	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
Comment	The Technology dev	scription is too long to fit in the	e comment section. Please see page 8 of 8 of	Bact Determination 103 for
Comments	the control requirem	ients of PM10/PM2.5.	e comment section. Thease see page 0 01 0 01	Dati Determination 19310

District Contact:

SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY & TOXIC BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	193
	DATE:	5/30/2018
	ENGINEER:	Venk Reddy
Category/General Equip Description:	Concrete Batch Plant Concrete Batch Plant greater th	an or equal to 5
Equipment Specific Description:	Cubic yards per batch	-
Equipment Size/Rating:	Minor Source BACT	
Previous BACT Det. No.:	117	

This BACT determination will update determination #117 for concrete batch plants with a throughput greater than or equal to 5 cubic yards per batch

BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT for concrete batch plants with a throughput greater than or equal to 5 cubic yards per batch

BACT & T-BACT Determination Concrete batch Plant >= 5 cubic yard per batch May 30, 2018 Page 2 of 8

District/ Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements		
	BACT Source: E	PA/ RACT/BACT/LEAR Clearinghouse	
	Concret	e Batch Plants	
	voc	No Standard	
	NOx	No Standard	
	SOx	No Standard	
US EPA	PM10	 Maintain a min 1.5% moisture content, control efficiency of 81.5%. Nellis Air Force Base Concrete Batch Plant 02/26/2008 Enclosure, control efficiency of 62%, Aggregate/Cement Mixing 12/11/2006 	
	PM2.5	No Standard	
	CO	No Standard	
	None From the	uirements date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) to there were no new BACT determinations entered into the system or new	

District/ Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements		
	BACT		
	Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse Santa Barbara County APCD		
	Concret	e Batch Plants	
	VOC	No Standard	
	NOx	No Standard	
	SOx	No Standard	
	PM10	Aggregate Storage at min 4% moisture. Vent filters for weigh batcher and storage silos	
ARB	PM2.5	No Standard	
	CO	No Standard	
		T determination was found to be the most stringent Achieved in Practice termination published in the ARB clearinghouse.	
	<u>Rule Rec</u> None	<u>uirements</u>	
		date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) to 3 there were no new BACT determinations entered into the system or new	

District/ Agency | Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements

	BACT	
	From SI	MAQMD BACT #117 issued on 5/11/16
	VOC	No Standard
	NOx	No Standard
	SOx	No Standard
SMAQMD	PM10	 Enclosed aggregate and cement weigh hoppers, screw conveyors and concrete batcher vented to a 99% efficient fabric filter baghouse, and Flexible shroud which seals to the truck. Shroud vented to 99% efficient fabric baghouse, and Water spray system for sand and aggregate transfer points. Sand and aggregate storage piles adequately wet to maintain a minimum moisture content of 4% by weight, and Open areas maintained adequately wet to prevent fugitive emissions in excess of <5 percent opacity
	PM2.5	No Standard
	CO	No Standard
	None Update a The star determine not achie the aggre dust. Per	s of 5/30/18 indards were derived from San Diego APCD BACT. SMAQMD has addrds were derived from San Diego APCD BACT. SMAQMD has bed that an enclosed aggregate weigh hopper controlled by a baghouse is ved in practice. Dust is controlled by moisture from the aggregate. Since the system is wet a baghouse is not an effective method of controlling additional San Diego, the requirement to control the wet aggregate with an enclosure ouse is not required and therefore not part of the BACT requirement.

District/ Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements		
	From SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non Major Polluting Facilities		
	VOC	No Standard	
	NOx	No Standard	
	SOx	No Standard	
	PM10	Baghouse venting the cement weigh hopper and mixer truck loading station and adequate aggregate moisture (07-11-97)	
South Coast AQMD	PM2.5	No Standard	
	CO	No Standard	
	CO No Standard Rule Requirements None From the date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) 5/30/2018 there were no new BACT determinations entered into the system or nerules.		

District/ Assessed	Dest Available Control Technology (DACT)/ Deguinements		
District/ Agency			
District/ Agency San Diego County APCD	BACT From SI VOC NOx SOx PM10 PM2.5 CO Rule Rec	ailable Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements DCAPCD NSR Requirements for BACT, Page 3-9 No Standard No Standard No Standard 1) Enclosed cement weigh hoppers, screw conveyors and concrete batcher vented to a 99% efficient fabric filter baghouse. 2) Flexible shroud which seals to the truck. Shroud vented to 99% efficient fabric baghouse 3) Water spray system for sand and aggregate transfer points. Sand and aggregate storage piles adequately wet to maintain a minimum moisture content of 4% by weight 4) Open areas maintained adequately wet to prevent fugitive emissions in excess of 20 percent opacity or Ringlemann 1 No Standard No Standard	
	None		
	From the 5/30/2018	date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) to there were no new rules. However, San Diego has revised their previous etermination to remove the control by baghouse requirement of the	

BACT & T-BACT Determination Concrete batch Plant >= 5 cubic yard per batch May 30, 2018 Page 5 of 8

aggregate weigh hoppers when they determined that it was not appropriate due to
the moisture content of the aggregate.

District/ Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements		
	BACT		
	From B/ batch	AQMD BACT Guideline – Greater than or equal to 5 cubic yards per	
	VOC	No Standard	
	NOx	No Standard	
	SOx	No Standard	
Day Area	PM10	Water spray for aggregate handling, aggregate storage piles and site road surfaces; and enclosure and venting of cement handling and storage to a baghouse (9/4/91)	
Bay Area	PM2.5	No Standard	
AQMD	CO	No Standard	
	None From the	uirements date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) to there were no new BACT determinations entered into the system or new	

District/ Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/ Requirements		
District/ Agency San Joaquin Valley APCD	BACT From SJVAPCD BACT Guidelines – Greater than or equal to 5 cubic yards per batch (6.2.2 - 3/10/2008) VOC No Standard NOx No Standard SOx No Standard PM10 1) Sand and Aggregate storage: outdoor storage piles adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity 2) Sand and aggregate handling (all transfer points): water sprays on all transfer points 3) Sand and aggregate weigh batcher: material adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity 4) Storage silos for cement, fly ash and other supplements: enclosed silo vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse, bin vent or equivalent) 5) Cement weigh batcher: enclosed weigh batcher vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent)		
	PM2.5	silo vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse, bin vent or equivalent)5) Cement weigh batcher: enclosed weigh batcher vented to a control	
	CO	No Standard	
		no otandara	

<u>Rule Requirements</u> None
From the date of the previous BACT determination (BACT#117 on 5/11/16) to 5/30/2018 there were no rules.

The following control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency:

	SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES
VOC	No Standard
NOx	No Standard
SOx	No Standard
PM10	1. Control strategy as defined by SJVAPCD
	Control strategy as defined by SMAQMD*
	3. Control strategy as defined by SDAPCD
	4. Aggregate Storage at min 4% moisture. Vent filters for weigh batcher and storage silos - ARB.
	5. Fugitive emissions vented to a baghouse and opacity of the uncaptured emissions not to exceed 20% for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes during any one hour - BAAQMD, SCAQMD.
	6. Enclosure only, Federal Clearinghouse
	7. Water spray only, Federal Clearinghouse
PM2.5	No Standard
CO	No Standard

* The enclosed aggregate weigh hopper was shown to be not achieved in practice and the opacity limit for open areas was reworded for clarity and better enforceability.

As part of the BACT determination, SMAQMD identified the use of an enclosed aggregate and cement weigh hoppers, screw conveyors and concrete batcher vented to a 99% efficient fabric filter baghouse. This was originally identified from SDAPCD as more stringent than the use of water spray alone. Industry could not meet this requirement in the case of the aggregate feed hopper. The aggregate is already wet from being watered in the pile and additional water added as a part of the cement making process. A baghouse is not an effective way to control dust from a wet source, since the baghouse will get entrapped with water and reduce efficiency. SDAPCD was contacted and they concurred that the aggregate system is controlled by water spray not by a baghouse. For this reason this portion of the SMAQMD determination is not considered achieved in practice or technologically feasible. SDAPCD has also removed this requirement from their BACT requirement.

To restrict the opacity limits from open areas, SMAQMD used the contradictory term of "excess of **<5** percent opacity" whereas the SJVAPCD uses the term "prevent visible emissions **>** 5% opacity". Both of these terms are essentially equivalent due to the fact that it is difficult to visually distinguish any gradients of opacity at less than or equal to 5%. Therefore, for clarity and better enforceability, the language of SJVAPCD will be adopted as the standard.

BACT & T-BACT Determination Concrete batch Plant >= 5 cubic yard per batch May 30, 2018 Page 7 of 8

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

	BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED		
Pollutant	Standard	Source	
VOC	No Standard		
NOx	No Standard		
SOx	No Standard		
PM10	 Sand and Aggregate storage: outdoor storage piles adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity Sand and aggregate handling (all transfer points): water sprays on all transfer points Sand and aggregate weigh batcher: material adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity Storage silos for cement, flyash and other supplements: enclosed silo vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse, bin vent or equivalent) Cement weigh batcher: enclosed weigh batcher vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) Transit-mixed truck loading: loading operation enclosed by a flexible shroud which seals to the truck and is vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) Central mixer loading: Enclosed mixer vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) 	SJVAPCD (BACT)	
PM2.5	No Standard		
CO	No Standard		

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer. As fabric filters are generally considered to achieve the highest level of particulate control for processes that they may be applied to, and since the achieved in practice BACT determination has been determined to be the use of a 99% efficient fabric filter, no additional technologies were analyzed as technologically feasible.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

Based on the fact that no other technologically feasible control technologies were identified as being more appropriate with a higher level of control efficiency than a fabric filter for particulate control for this application, BACT for PM10 will be the highest level of control that has been achieved in practice that used this technology. As PM2.5 is a subset of PM10, BACT for PM2.5 will be set to the same standard as is set for PM10.

Pollutant	For Concrete Batch Plants Greater than or equal to 5 cubic Standard	Source
VOC	No Standard	
NOx	No Standard	
SOx	No Standard	
PM10	 Sand and Aggregate storage: outdoor storage piles adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity Sand and aggregate handling (all transfer points): water sprays on all transfer points Sand and aggregate weigh batcher: material adequately wetted to prevent visible emissions > 5% opacity Storage silos for cement, flyash and other supplements: enclosed silo vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse, bin vent or equivalent) Cement weigh batcher: enclosed weigh batcher vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) Transit-mixed truck loading: loading operation enclosed by a flexible shroud which seals to the truck and is vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) Central mixer loading: Enclosed mixer vented to a control device with 99% efficiency (baghouse or equivalent) 	SJVAPCD
PM2.5 (A)	Equivalent to PM10 control standards	SMAQMD
CO	No Standard	

(A) The control of PM2.5 is considered equivalent to the control of PM10.

D. SELECTION OF T-BACT:

Toxics are in the form of PM. The control of particulate matter through meeting the BACT standard will also control toxics found in the PM. Therefore meeting the BACT controls for the control of PM will be considered equivalent to meeting T-BACT requirements.

REVIEWED BY: Pri F Uhul

DATE: 7-11-18

APPROVED BY:

yn

DATE: 7/11/18